Decisions/Responses since the November 15th, 2023 workshop meeting covering concerns/recommendations not followed, and/or, explanations relative to rationale for these differences of opinion and/or decisions rendered that disagree with approaches proposed relative to how to execute the project

	HPC Recommendations	Draft Responses and/or
		Proposed Decisions
1.	The Historic Preservation	Agree with the first sentence. It
	Commission of the Village of	should be state that these
	Kinderhook fully supports the	improvements/upgrades are
	goals of the Albany Avenue	necessary for not only Albany
	Projects to replace the	Avenue road/sidewalks - they
	antiquated water mains and to	are needed to maintain the
	install a proper drainage	integrity and operation of the
	system. It recognizes these	water distribution system
	projects as necessary upgrades	throughout the Village.
	that will greatly benefit not only	
	the residents of Albany Avenue	Disagree with the second
	but also visitors patronizing	sentence statement, however,
	local businesses and/or	we acknowledge their point of
	attending Village events.	view and understand that their
	However, the HPC finds that the	perspective may vary from a
	two plans being proposed	more wholistic view – what is
	compromise the historic	best for all Village residents.
	character of the Albany Avenue.	
2.	- 10-foot traffic	Disagree – Village does not
	lanes, the	make the standards – DOT
	standard for the	determines minimum allowable
	village and roads	lane sizes associated with the
	beyond.	conditions found within the
		construction zone.
3.	- 10-foot traffic	Disagree - the 13' wide lanes
	lanes, the	would provide more safety to
	standard for the	bicycle traffic and most large
	village and roads	truck/farm traffic could stay in
	beyond.	the road lane and not cross the
		white line into the shoulder or
		cross the center yellow line.
<u>l</u>	1	

Decisions/Responses since the November 15th, 2023 workshop meeting covering concerns/recommendations not followed, and/or, explanations relative to rationale for these differences of opinion and/or decisions rendered that disagree with approaches proposed relative to how to execute the project

4.	The HPC views the variations	Disagree. We certainly would
	or irregularities in dimensions	accept and consider asthetic
	or layout of lanes, verges,	recommendations and apply
	and walkways as contributors	them if practical and possible.
	to the character of the street	them in processor and possible.
	that reflect its organic	
	evolvement over several	
	centuries. It therefore	
	considers them part of the	
	historic fabric of the village	
	and strongly recommends	
	that they be preserved.	
5.	While trees are not structures	In general, we agree with this
	and therefore not technically	recommendation. However, the
	under the purview of the HPC	Tree locations should not be
	they are, nonetheless, a	prioritized over the layout of
	defining feature of the street	the road and sidewalks and
	and are part of its historic	green spaces.
	fabric as well as a visual	8
	asset. The Commission	
	recommends that all efforts	
	should be made to preserve	
	them wherever possible even	
	if that requires alterations of	
	the dimensions or layout of	
	walkways, verges, and/or	
	parking/service lanes. Work-	
	arounds are greatly preferred	
	to destruction.	
	-	
6.	While the Commission	In general, we agree. However,
	recognizes that many NYS	the regularization and
	DOT guidelines are suitable	standardization of some
	for urban or suburban areas	features to comply with DOT is
	it views them as	necessary in some specific
	inappropriate for a rural	instances and is a priority over
	village setting. The	just do not apply the standard.
		Just do not apply the standard.

Decisions/Responses since the November 15th, 2023 workshop meeting covering concerns/recommendations not followed, and/or, explanations relative to rationale for these differences of opinion and/or decisions rendered that disagree with approaches proposed relative to how to execute the project

	Commission strongly	
	recommends against the	
	regularization or	
	standardization of features	
	that deprive the street of its	
	historic rural character.	
7.	Albany Avenue, along with	Agreed. The exemptions need
	the core of the village, is on	to be specific and not ethereal.
	the National Register of	We disagree with the notion
	Historic Places, a Federal	that a third alternative is
	designation. Both the ADA	needed. Minor revisions to the
	and NYS DOT guidelines	two (2) proposed solutions is
	explicitly state that places	deemed adequate to address
	with a National Register	some and not all of HPC
	designation are eligible for	concerns.
	exemptions. In this light, the	concerns.
	HPC recommends that an	
	additional third alternative	
	Albany Avenue plan	
	incorporating the	
	recommendations of the HPC	
	outlined above be submitted	
	to NYS DOT.	
Resident Sabine	Keep the road configuration	Disagree. Constraints and
Murphy's	as it is right now	Provisions within the grant
Concern and		agreement require us to do
voiced by others		otherwise to gain approval.
Trustee Quinn	the leave alone option may	There is not a compelling
Murphy's	not meet DOT standards but	reason to approve a non-
Approach	that's ok as DOT allows for	standard feature justification.
	justifications to be made	Do not pursue.
Other Concerns	Questions raised Nov. 15th	Agree with answers in minutes
	I	